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## Motivation

In string theory, scattering amplitudes between various asymptotic spin states are related. E.g. for bosonic strings

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\text {closed }} & =: \exp \left(i k \cdot X+\epsilon \cdot \partial_{z} X+\tilde{\epsilon} \cdot \partial_{\bar{z}} X\right): \\
& =V_{\text {open }} \times V_{\text {open }}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular $V_{\text {graviton }}=\left(V_{\text {gauge }}\right)^{2}$. This leads to relationships such as

3 - point graviton amplitude $=(3-\text { point gauge amplitude })^{2}$
and KLT (Kawai-Lewellen-Tye) relations, and, more recently to the double-copy relations between gravity and gauge theory scattering amplitudes.

We found that similar relationships exist between vertex operators which create various spin particles when acting on a given spin worldline

| spin | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu} e^{i k \cdot x}$ |
| 1 | NA | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}+i k_{\nu} S^{\mu \nu}\right) e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}+i k_{\rho} S^{\nu \rho}\right) e^{i k \cdot x}$ |
| 2 | NA | NA | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}+i k_{\rho} S^{\mu \rho}\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}+i k_{\sigma} \tilde{S}^{\nu \sigma}\right)$ <br> $e^{i k \cdot x}$ |

Table: The linearized vertex operators of different interactions
where $S^{\mu \nu}=2 \bar{\psi}^{[\mu} \psi^{\nu]}$ accounts for the spin degrees of freedom on the worldline.

## First-quantized fields: worldlines

Bern and Kosower (1992): infinite tension limit of string amplitudes
Strassler (1992) recovered their master formula and rules by rewriting the one-loop amplitudes as a path integral over point-particle coordinates.

This is the beginning of the "worldline formalism".

## First-quantized fields: worldlines

Consider a spin 0 (scalar) particle.
The position-space propagator can be cast as a path integral over a "worldline":

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(X^{\prime}, X\right) & =\left\langle X^{\prime}\right| \frac{1}{\square-m^{2}+i \epsilon}|X\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d T\left\langle X^{\prime}\right| \exp \left(i T\left(\square-m^{2}+i \epsilon\right)\right)|X\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^{4} p}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{i p \cdot\left(X^{\prime}-X\right)} e^{-i T\left(p^{2}+m^{2}-i \epsilon\right)} \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{\left.\begin{array}{c}
x^{\mu}(T)=x^{\prime \mu} \\
x^{\mu}(0)=X^{\mu} \\
\mathcal{D} x \\
\hline
\end{array} \tau\right) \int \mathcal{D} p(\tau) e^{-i \int_{0}^{T} d \tau\left(p^{2}(\tau)+m^{2}-p \cdot \dot{x}-i \epsilon\right)}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{x^{\mu}(T)=x^{\prime \mu}} \mathcal{D} x(\tau) \exp \left(i \int_{0}^{T} d \tau \mathcal{L}_{0}[\dot{x}]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Accounting for the interactions with a background field, the propagator becomes the "dressed propagator" $\Gamma\left[X^{\prime}, X\right]$.

Worldline Formalism: Path Integral form of the dressed propagator

$$
\Gamma\left[X^{\prime}, X\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{\substack{x^{\mu}(T)=x^{\prime} \mu \\ x^{\mu}(0)=X^{\mu}}} \mathcal{D} x(\tau) \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{T} d \tau\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}[\dot{x}]-V[x, \dot{x}]\right)\right]
$$

Perform a plane-wave expansion for the background field

$$
V=\sum_{i=2}^{N-1} V_{i} e^{i k_{i} \times(\tau)}
$$

The interaction with $N-2$ background particles is given by

$$
\Gamma_{N}\left[X^{\prime}, X\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{x(0)=x^{\prime}}^{x(T)=x} \mathcal{D} x(\tau) e^{-\int_{0}^{T} d \tau \mathcal{L}_{0}} \prod_{i=2}^{N-1}\left(\int_{0}^{T} d \tau_{i} V_{i}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Then $\Gamma_{N}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right]$ will be of the form $\Gamma_{N}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right]=\frac{1}{p^{2}+m^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{N \frac{1}{p^{2}+m^{2}}}$ $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ yields the scattering amplitude.

## Transition amplitude to dressed propagator

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(X^{\prime}, T ; X, 0\right) & =\left\langle X^{\prime}\right| U(T, 0)|X\rangle \\
& =\left\langle X^{\prime}, T \| U_{l}(T ; 0) \mid X, 0\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{x(0)=X}^{x(T)=X^{\prime}} \mathcal{D} x(\tau) e^{-\int_{0}^{T} d \tau \mathcal{L}_{0}} \prod_{i=2}^{N-1}\left(\int_{0}^{T} d \tau_{i} V_{i}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma\left[X, X^{\prime}\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} d T K\left(X^{\prime}, T ; X, 0\right)
$$

## Dressed propagator to scattering amplitudes

$$
\Gamma\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d T\left\langle X^{\prime}, T\right| U_{l}(T ; 0)|X, 0\rangle
$$

For an N -point-function, expand the interacting picture $U_{i}$ in the background potential keep the multilinear term $\Gamma_{N}$.
Example: 3-point function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{3}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right] & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d T \int_{0}^{T} d \tau_{2}\left\langle p^{\prime}, T\right| e^{i\left(k_{2} \cdot x\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right.}|p, 0\rangle \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau_{32} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \tau_{21}\left\langle p^{\prime}\right| e^{-H_{0} \tau_{32}} V\left(k_{2}, \tau=0\right) e^{\left.-H_{0} \tau_{21}\right)}|p\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{p^{2}+m^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{3} \frac{1}{p^{\prime 2}+m^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the $T$ integral and one integral over the interaction potential yielded the free propagators.

## 4-point scattering amplitudes

Consider a 4-point amplitude, with $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ on-shell

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{4} & =\int_{0}^{+\infty} d \tau_{32}\langle p| V_{3} e^{-H_{0} \tau_{32}} V_{2}\left|p^{\prime}\right\rangle+(2 \leftrightarrow 3) \\
& =\langle p| e^{-H_{0} \tau_{43}} V_{3}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} d \tau_{32} e^{-H_{0} \tau_{32}}\right) V_{2} e^{-H_{0} \tau_{21}}\left|p^{\prime}\right\rangle+(2 \leftrightarrow 3) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \tau_{32}\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{V_{4}\left(\tau_{4}\right) V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right) V_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1}<\tau_{2,3}<\tau_{4}$ and where $V_{4}$ and $V_{1}$ are two vertex operators that create $|p\rangle$ and $\left|p^{\prime}\right\rangle$ when acting on the vacuum. We can also set $\tau_{2}=0$.

## Scattering amplitudes in worldline formalism

 In general, the scattering amplitudes are of the form$$
\mathcal{A}_{N}=\lim _{\substack{\tau_{N} \rightarrow+\infty \\ \tau_{1} \rightarrow-\infty}}\left(\prod_{i=3}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \tau_{i}\right)\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{V_{N}\left(\tau_{N}\right) V_{N-1}\left(\tau_{N-1}\right) \ldots V_{2}(0) V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\}\right\rangle
$$

Similar to Feynman diagrams in QFT, we can represent the expression with a specific ordering of $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}$ diagrammatically as


Figure: A part of $\mathcal{A}_{N}$, with ordering $\tau_{N-1}>\tau_{N-2} \ldots>\tau_{3}>0$
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}}$ has legs $3,4 \ldots(N-1)$ freely sliding on the worldline.

This expression is similar to how scattering amplitudes are computed in string theory. To evaluate it we only need the 2-point-function of the bosonic coordinates (on the infinite line) is

$$
\left\langle x^{\mu}(\tau) x^{\nu}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu}\left|\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right|
$$

For particles with spins, we will add fermions to the worldline action.
$N=2 S$ where $N=$ supersymmetry in the w.l. and $S=$ spin. The fermion correlation functions (on the infinite line) are

$$
\left\langle\bar{\psi}^{a}(\tau) \psi^{b}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\eta^{a b} \Theta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Spin 0

## Spin 1

## Spin 2

Figure: Worldlines of particles with different spins

## Spin $0 \quad$ Spin $1 \quad$ Spin 2



Figure: Linear vertex operators of particles with different spins

## Scalar QED

Start with the worldline action for a scalar interacting with a background photon field,

$$
S=\int d \tau\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{2}(\tau)-\frac{i}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau) A_{\mu}(x(\tau))\right)
$$

This gives the photon vertex operator

$$
V_{j}(\tau)=-\frac{i}{2}\left(\epsilon_{j} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau)\right) e^{i k_{j} \cdot x(\tau)}, j=2,3 \ldots(N-1)
$$

Using $\left\langle e^{A} e^{B}\right\rangle=e^{\langle A B\rangle}$ the 3-point function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{3} & =\left\langle V_{3}(+\infty) V_{2}(0) V_{1}(-\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(+\infty)}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) \epsilon_{2} \cdot \dot{x}(0) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{2} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{2} k_{3}+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}\right) e^{\sum i>j \frac{1}{2} k_{i} \cdot k_{j}\left(\tau_{i}-\tau_{j}\right)} \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{2} \cdot\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: 3-point amplitude of scalar QED

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{4}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \tau\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{V_{4}(+\infty) V_{3}(\tau) V_{2}(0) V_{1}(-\infty)\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{-1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \tau\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} \epsilon_{3} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau) e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} \epsilon_{2} \cdot \dot{x}(0) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{4}\right)\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{+\infty} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} e^{i k_{3} \cdot \times(\tau)} e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{4}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{0} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} e^{i k_{2} \cdot \times(0)} e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \tau \delta(\tau)\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{e^{i k_{4} \cdot \times(+\infty)} e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot \times(-\infty)}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 s}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{4}\right)\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2 u}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{4}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s=-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}, u=-\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{2}$ and $t=-\left(k_{1}+k_{4}\right)^{2}$ are Mandelstam variables, and we used the on-shell conditions for all four particles.

As a general feature of the worldline formalism, the two factors $\int_{0}^{+\infty} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle$ and
$\int_{-\infty}^{0} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle$
yield the poles $-2 / s$ and $-2 / u$ respectively.


Figure: 4-point amplitude of scalar QED

## Coupling to gravity

The Euclidean classical action of the $O(N)$ spinning particle in a curved background is given by (Howe et al 1988, Bastianelli et al 2011)
$\int d \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+b^{\mu} c^{\nu}+a^{\mu} a^{\nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{i a} D_{\tau} \psi_{i}^{a}+\alpha R_{a b c d} \psi_{i}^{a} \psi_{i}^{b} \psi_{j}^{c} \psi_{j}^{d}\right]$
where $i=1 \ldots N, D_{\tau} \psi_{i}^{a}=\partial_{\tau} \psi_{i}^{a}+\dot{x}^{\mu} \omega_{\mu}^{a b} \psi_{i b}$ and where the Grassmann-even $a^{\mu}$ and the Grassmann-odd $b^{\mu}, c^{\mu}$ are ghosts introduced to make up for the $\sqrt{-g}$ factor in the general-covariant path integral measure $\int \mathcal{D} x^{\mu} \sqrt{g(x)}$ (van Nieuwenhuizen, Bastianelli).

## Regularization and renormalization needed

In computing in the worldline formalism one encounters products of distributions $\delta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) \Theta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)$ which result from contractions of the type $\langle X \dot{X}\rangle\langle X \dot{X}\rangle$ etc. These expressions are defined through a regularization scheme. Then one needs to add counterterms(scheme dependent) to the action in order to get matching results with the quantum field theory corresponding to the first-quantized worldline action. The counterterms depend on the regularization scheme. If we use dimensional regularization, the counterterms will be in a general-covariant form $V_{D R}=\beta R$. Then, the worldline action in dimensional regularization is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{D R}= & \int d \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+b^{\mu} c^{\nu}+a^{\mu} a^{\nu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{i a} D_{\tau} \psi_{i}^{a}\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha R_{a b c d} \psi_{i}^{a} \psi_{i}^{b} \psi_{j}^{c} \psi_{j}^{d}+\beta R\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Renormalization and fixing of the counterm coeff.

Previous results in the literature have been derived starting from the $O(N)$ supersymmetric spinning particle action. These concern the so-called transition amplitude, which is the probability amplitude for the particle in some initial state, specified by $x^{\mu}(\tau=0)=X^{\mu}, \psi^{i}(\tau=0)=\Psi^{i}$, to evolve at some later time $\tau=T$ into a final state specified by $x^{\mu}(\tau=T)=X^{\prime \mu}, \bar{\psi}^{\prime i}(\tau=T)=\bar{\psi}^{\prime i}$ :

$$
K\left(X^{\prime}, \bar{\Psi}^{\prime} ; X, \Psi\right)=\left\langle X^{\prime}, \bar{\Psi}^{\prime}\right| e^{i T \hat{H}}|X, \Psi\rangle,
$$

The counterterm $\beta$ was previously determined by Bastianelli et al. (2011) by computing the small- $T$ expansions of the matrix element of $\exp (-T H)$ acting coherent fermionic states as in the transition amplitude and matching with the path integral computation, using dim reg.

## Our approach

We fix the coupling with background gravity and the counterterms by computing the 3-point vertex with the background graviton off-shell. Later we verify these coefficients by computing 4-point scattering amplitudes. By matching with the corresponding field theory tree diagrams (e.g. minimally coupled scalar or Yang-Mills theory in a curved background) we obtained the following results:

| N | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | NA | $-\frac{1}{8}$ |
| 2 | $-\frac{1}{8}$ | $-\frac{1}{8}$ |

Previous results have $\beta=0$ for $N=2$.

## Scalar coupled to gravity

Vertex operators creating the asymptotic states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=e^{i k_{1} \cdot x\left(\tau_{1}\right)} \\
& V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right)=e^{i k_{3} \cdot x\left(\tau_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Vertex operator for the emission of an off-shell graviton:

$$
V_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x\left(\tau_{2}\right)}-\beta\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}
$$

$\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}$ is defined by the linearized expansion of the background Ricci scalar: $R\left[\eta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}\right]=h_{\mu \nu}\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{O}\left[h^{2}\right]$. $\Rightarrow\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu} k^{2}-k_{\mu} k_{\nu}$


When $\beta=-\frac{1}{8}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{3}\left(k_{1}, k_{3} ; k_{2}\right)= & \left\langle V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right) V^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right) V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}(-1) \frac{1}{4}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\nu} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}(-1)\left[k_{2}^{\mu} k_{2}^{\nu}-\eta^{\mu \nu} k_{2}^{2}\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{8}\left[\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\nu}\right. \\
& \left.-\eta^{\mu \nu}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\nu}\right] \\
= & -\frac{1}{4}\left(k_{1}^{\mu} k_{3}^{\nu}+k_{3}^{\mu} k_{1}^{\nu}-\eta^{\mu \nu} k_{1} \cdot k_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This matches the QFT vertex $h_{\mu \nu} T^{\mu \nu}$ for a minimally coupled scalar. (This was noted in Mogull, Plefka and Steinhoff 2010.) 4 -point functions are reproduced as well.

## Photon coupled to gravity

The following vertex operators are needed

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)= & \epsilon_{1 \mu} \bar{\psi}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{1}\right) e^{i k_{1} \cdot x\left(\tau_{1}\right)} \\
V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right)= & \epsilon_{3 \mu} \psi^{\mu}\left(\tau_{3}\right) e^{i k_{3} \cdot x\left(\tau_{3}\right)} \\
V_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)= & -\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \sigma} S^{\nu \sigma}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x\left(\tau_{2}\right)} \\
& -\alpha\left[R_{a b c d}^{(1)} S^{a b} S^{c d}\right]^{\mu \nu}-\beta\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}  \tag{1}\\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \sigma} S^{\nu \sigma}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +4 \alpha\left[R_{a d}^{(1)} \bar{\Psi}^{a} \Psi^{d}\right]^{\mu \nu}-\beta\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left[R_{a d}^{(1)} \bar{\Psi}^{a} \Psi^{d}\right]^{\mu \nu}$ is the coefficient of $h_{\mu \nu}$ of the linearized $R_{a d}\left[\eta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}\right] \bar{\Psi}^{a} \Psi^{d}$. In going from (1) to (2) we normal-ordered the spin.


When $\alpha=-\frac{1}{8}, \beta=-\frac{1}{8}$, the vertex operator becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\nu}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{1}\right)-\epsilon_{3}^{\nu} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3}+\epsilon_{1}^{\nu} \epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{\mu}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{\nu}+\epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{\mu}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{\nu}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3} \eta^{\mu \nu}-\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon_{3}^{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{\nu}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{8}\left(\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\nu}-\eta^{\mu \nu}\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\epsilon_{1} \cdot \epsilon_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which matches the field theory. The 4-point amplitude is also reproduced.

## Worldline action for gravitons

- A free, massless spin 2 particle is described by an $N=4$ supersymmetric worldline action which is also $O(4)$ symmetric (Howe 1988).
- Spin $S$ free particles are described through $N=2 S$ supersymmetric worldline actions exhibiting $O(N)$ symmetry (Bastianelli et al.2011)
- Coupling with background gravity imposes restrictions for the background geometry if worldline supersymmetry is to be preserved: - Howe, Penati, Pernici and Townsend (1988) concluded that $N=4$ supersymmetry constrains the background curvature to vanish;
-Kuzenko and Yarevskaya (1995) showed that $N \geq 4$ supersymmetry could be preserved in an anti de-Sitter background; -Bastianelli et al( 2008) : $N$-supersymmetric particle can be consistently coupled with a conformally flat background).
- Recently, Bonezzi et al (2018) used BRST to construct the on-shell background graviton emission vertex from a graviton worldline. Nonetheless, an action describing the coupling of higher spin ( $S \geq 2$ ) particles with generic background gravity is unknown.

Our approach : constrain the worldline action by requiring that the term linear in the off-shell background field yields a 3-point vertex that matches the 3-point QFT vertex. In particular, we found that to reproduce general relativity's cubic graviton vertex, interpreted as the emission of an off-shell graviton from the worldline, the coupling to background gravity must break the $O(4)$ symmetry to $O(2) \times O(2)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int d \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+b^{\mu} c^{\nu}+a^{\mu} a^{\nu}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{a} \partial_{\tau} \Psi^{a}+\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{a} \partial_{\tau} \bar{\Psi}^{a}+\frac{1}{2} \overline{\tilde{\Psi}}_{a} \partial_{\tau} \tilde{\Psi}^{a}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Psi}_{a} \partial_{\tau} \overline{\tilde{\psi}}^{a} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu} \omega_{\mu a b}\left(S^{a b}+\tilde{S}^{a b}\right)+2 \alpha_{1} R_{a b c d} S^{a b} \tilde{S}^{c d} \\
& \left.+\alpha_{2} R_{a b c d}\left(S^{a b} S^{c d}+\tilde{S}^{a b} \tilde{S}^{c d}\right)+\beta R\right], \\
& \quad \alpha_{1}=-\alpha_{2}=-1 / 8 \quad \beta=\frac{3}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Graviton worldline and emitted off-shell graviton

Here we have (since the ghosts don't contribute to the 3-point vertex, we simply ignore them):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\epsilon_{1 \mu \nu} \bar{\Psi}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \tilde{\Psi}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{1}\right) e^{i k_{1} \cdot x\left(\tau_{1}\right)} \\
& V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right)=\epsilon_{3 \mu \nu} \Psi^{\mu}\left(\tau_{3}\right) \tilde{\Psi}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{3}\right) e^{i k_{3} \cdot x\left(\tau_{3}\right)} \\
& \begin{aligned}
V_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \rho} S^{\mu \rho}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \sigma} \tilde{S}^{\nu \sigma}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x\left(\tau_{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[R_{a d}^{(1)}\left(\bar{\Psi}^{a} \Psi^{d}+\bar{\Psi}^{a} \tilde{\Psi}^{d}\right)\right]^{\mu \nu} \\
& \quad-\frac{3}{8}\left(R^{(1)}\right)^{\mu \nu} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$



We can now compute the 3-point function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{1}\right)-\epsilon_{3}^{\mu} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3}+\epsilon_{1}^{\mu} \epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right) \\
\times\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{3}-k_{1}\right)^{\nu}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{1}\right)-\epsilon_{3}^{\nu} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3}+\epsilon_{1}^{\nu} \epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right) \\
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{\mu}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{\nu}+\epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3} \epsilon_{3}^{\mu}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{\nu}\right. \\
\left.-\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1} \epsilon_{1} \cdot k_{3} \eta^{\mu \nu}-\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{2} \epsilon_{3}^{\mu} \epsilon_{1}^{\nu}\right) \\
+
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
8\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot \epsilon_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\mu}\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{\nu}-\eta^{\mu \nu}\left(k_{3}+k_{1}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Glimpse of double copy

For the emission of an on-shell graviton we have:

$$
V_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \rho} S^{\mu \rho}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+i k_{2 \sigma} \tilde{S}^{\nu \sigma}\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right),
$$

(the linearized Ricci tensor vanishes and the linearized term $R_{a b c d} S^{a b} \tilde{S}^{c d}$ leads to the squaring we see above if $\alpha_{1}=-\frac{1}{8}$.)
where $\bar{V}^{\mu}(\tau)=-\frac{V_{2}^{\mu \nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=-2 \bar{V}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \bar{V}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{2}\right)}{\left.-i \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau)+i{ }_{2 \rho} S^{\mu \rho}(\tau)\right) \text { is the vertex operator }}$ for gauge boson self-interaction.
This leads directly to the double copy relation between the 3-point amplitudes:

$$
\left.\left.\left\langle V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right) V_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) V_{\left(\tau_{1}\right)}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {gravity }}=-2\left\langle\bar{V}_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right) \bar{V}_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \bar{V}_{\left(\tau_{1}\right)}\right)\right\rangle_{\text {gauge boson }}^{2} .
$$

## Higher N-point

New features:

- The emission vertices may become non-linear "pinch operators"
- The a.b.c ghosts will play a role
- We need to use dim-reg to get well-defined expressions

$$
\int d^{D} \tau \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \partial_{I} x^{\mu} \partial_{I} x^{\nu}+\ldots
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x^{\mu}(\tau) x^{\nu}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\eta^{\mu \nu} \Delta\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right), \quad \square_{D} \Delta\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=-\delta^{D}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right), \quad \partial_{I J} \Delta \neq \partial_{I} \partial_{I} \Delta \\
& \int d \tau_{32} \ddot{\Delta}\left(\tau_{32}\right) \dot{\Delta}\left(\tau_{32}\right) e^{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \int d^{D} \tau \partial_{I} \partial_{J} \Delta \partial_{I} \Delta e^{\Sigma}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{D} \tau\left(\partial_{J} e^{\Sigma}\right)\left(\partial_{I} \Delta\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If we place the emitted gravitons on-shell, we need to add diagrams called "lower-trees" which essentially ensure that the gravitons are solving their equations of motion.

Example: 4-point scattering amplitude from a scalar worldine and two on-shell gravitons

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.V_{23}(\tau)\right|_{\text {pinch }} & =\frac{1}{8} R^{(2)} \\
& =\frac{1}{8}\left[\frac{3}{4}\left(\partial_{\mu} h_{\alpha \beta}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\alpha} h^{\beta \mu}\right)\left(\partial_{\beta} h_{\mu \alpha}\right)\right] \\
& \left.=\left[\frac{3 t}{32}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{3}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{2}\right)\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}\right)\right]\right]^{i\left(k_{\alpha}+k_{3}\right) \times(\tau)} .
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4, s g}= & \lim _{\substack{\tau_{4} \rightarrow+\infty \\
\tau \rightarrow-\infty}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \tau_{3}\left\langle\mathcal{T}\left\{V_{4}\left(\tau_{4}\right) V_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right) V_{2}(0) V\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\}\right\rangle \\
& +\lim _{\substack{\tau_{t} \rightarrow-\infty}}\left(V_{4}\left(\tau_{4}\right) V_{23}(\tau) V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \tau\left\langle V_{4}(+\infty) \mathcal{T}\left\{V_{3}(\tau) V_{2}(0)\right\} V_{1}(-\infty)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle V_{4}(+\infty) V_{32}(0) V_{1}(-\infty)\right\rangle \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{s}\left(\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{4}\right)-\frac{s}{2}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{u}\left(\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{4}\right)-\frac{u}{2}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}\right)\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Glimpse of double copy

Let's make use of the spinor helicity formalism get rid of the terms containing ( $\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}$ ) by appropriately choosing the reference twistors $| \pm\rangle$ and $\mid \pm]$.
E.g. if particles 2 and 3 have the same helicity $\left(\epsilon_{2,3}^{(+)} \propto|-\rangle\left[k_{2,3}\left|, \epsilon_{2,3}^{(-)} \propto\right| k_{2,3}\right\rangle[+\mid)\right.$ then $\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}=0$. And if the helicities are opposite we can still arrange for $\epsilon_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{3}=0$ by choosing e.g. $|-\rangle \propto\left|k_{2}\right\rangle$ if 3 has negative helicity. Now, compare $A_{4, s g}$ that with the corresponding scalar QED case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4, s b} & =\frac{-1}{4}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} \epsilon_{3 \mu} \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau) e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} \epsilon_{2 \bar{\mu}} \dot{x}^{\bar{\mu}}(0) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{-\infty}^{0} d \tau\left\langle e^{i k_{4} \cdot x(+\infty)} \epsilon_{2 \bar{\mu}} \dot{x}^{\bar{\mu}}(0) e^{i k_{2} \cdot x(0)} \epsilon_{3 \mu} \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau) e^{i k_{3} \cdot x(\tau)} e^{i k_{1} \cdot x(-\infty)}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{s}\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{u}\left(\epsilon_{3} \cdot k_{1}\right)\left(\epsilon_{2} \cdot k_{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here is the double copy structure again:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4, s g} & =-\frac{n_{s, s g}}{2 s}-\frac{n_{u, s g}}{2 u} \\
A_{4, s b} & =-\frac{n_{s, s b}}{2 s}-\frac{n_{u, s b}}{2 u} \\
n_{s, s g} & =n_{s, s b}^{2} \\
n_{u, s g} & =n_{u, s b}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4-point photon w.l. and 2 on-shell gravitons



$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{b g, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right) \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{i}\right)\left[\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{i}\right)+i k_{i \sigma} S^{\nu \sigma}(\tau)\right] e^{i k_{i} \cdot x\left(\tau_{i}\right)} \\
V_{s b, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu, i} \dot{x}^{\mu} e^{i k_{i} \cdot x}\left(\tau_{i}\right) \\
V_{b b, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\nu, i}\left[\dot{x}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{i}\right)+i k_{i \sigma} S^{\nu \sigma}(\tau)\right] e^{i k_{i} \cdot x}\left(\tau_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Glimpse of double copy

lgnoring the $e^{i k_{i} \cdot x}\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ factor, we have the following relation

$$
V_{b g, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right)=V_{s b, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right) V_{b b, i}\left(\tau_{i}\right)
$$

The final amplitudes have the structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4, b g} & =\frac{n_{s, b g}}{2 s}+\frac{n_{u, b g}}{2 u} \\
A_{4, s b} & =\frac{n_{s, s b}}{2 s}+\frac{n_{u, s b}}{2 u} \\
A_{4, b b}(1243) & =\frac{n_{s, b b}}{2 s}+\frac{n_{u, b b}}{2 u} \\
n_{s, b g} & =n_{s, s b} n_{s, b b} \\
n_{u, b g} & =n_{u, s b} n_{u, b b},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{4, b b}(1243)$ is the color-ordered 4-point amplitude of gauge bosons.

## Graviton 4-point

For the graviton worldline, we need the following vertex operators to create the asymptotic states (Bonezzi 2018)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\epsilon_{1 \mu \nu} \bar{\Psi}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \bar{\Psi}^{\nu}\left(\tau_{1}\right) e^{i k_{1} \cdot x\left(\tau_{1}\right)} \\
& V_{4}\left(\tau_{4}\right)=\epsilon_{4 \mu \nu} \tilde{\Psi}^{\mu}\left(\tau_{4}\right) \Psi^{\nu}\left(\tau_{4}\right) e^{i k_{4} \times\left(\tau_{4}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear vertex operator and the pinch operator are extracted from the $O(2) \times O(2)$ action.
Since now the background field is dynamical, similar to how the 4-point scattering of non-abelian gauge bosons is computed from the worldline, we also have to add a lower-order tree (the t-channel) to the worldline to get the correct four graviton scattering amplitude.


## Double copy for MHV

The reason worldline formalism yields the double-copy dirrectly for MHV amplitudes is the helicity structure: In the amplitude there will be $\epsilon_{I} \cdot k_{J}$ and $\epsilon_{I} \cdot \epsilon_{J}$ terms.
With the same choices for the reference twistors as before there can be only one $\epsilon_{I} \cdot \epsilon_{J}$.
This eliminates the 4-point diagrams, such as the $\langle\dot{x} \dot{x}\rangle$ contractions, ghost, and the pinch operator contributions. At this point only the squaring of the lienar vertex operators matters and the double copy is immediate.

| spin | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu} e^{i k \cdot x}$ |
| 1 | NA | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}+i k_{\nu} S^{\mu \nu}\right) e^{i k \cdot x}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}+i k_{\rho} S^{\nu \rho}\right) e^{i k \cdot x}$ |
| 2 | NA | NA | $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu}\left(\dot{x}^{\mu}+i k_{\rho} S^{\mu \rho}\right)\left(\dot{x}^{\nu}+i k_{\sigma} \tilde{S}^{\nu \sigma}\right)$ |

## Conclusions

- We extended the worldline formalism for graviton worldlines and multioule graviton emissions.
- We found that the $O(4)$ symmetry of the free $N=4$ worldline action is broken when accounting for graviton self-interactions, and we found different counterterms than in the previous literature, A different renormalization condition may be the reason. Our renormalization condition was matching with the QFT 3-point vertex.
- We identified the squaring of the linearized vertex operators as the reason for the double-copy relations among MHV amplitudes.
- Future directions:
$>$ Worldgraph?
- A rennaisance of the WF with applications to the classical limit of the scattering amplitudes and effective potentials: think two worldlines interacting by exchanging massless mediators.
$>$ Are counterterms relevant?
$>$ Higher-spin worldlines interacting by exchanging gravitons

