# **Electron Linacs as Possible ADS Drivers**

### Electron Beam Centre Navi Mumbai



K.C. Mittal , V.T. Nimje, J. Mondal, K.P. Dixit and L.M. Gantayet Accelerator & Pulse Power Division Beam Technology Development Group, BARC

Industrial Applications of Electron Beam			
Application	Energy	Dose	
oCross Linking of Polyethylene	0.3-10 MeV	50-300 kGy	
oThermo Shrinkable Plastics	0.5-4	100-250	
oTeflon Degradation	2		
oCuring of Coatings on wood	0.15-0.5	20-500	
oExotic Colors in Diamonds	2-10	few MGy	
oSewage & Sludge Treatment	0.5-4	0.5-1.0	
oFood Preservation	5-10	5-10	
oDisinfestation of Grain	1	0.5-1.0	
OPurification of Exhaust Gases	0.3-1.5	10-15	
oSterilization of Medical Prods	1-10	20-50	
oVulcanization of Rubber	0.5-1.5	20-500	
oGraft polymerization	0.3-2.5	10-300	

# Linear Electron Accelerators at BARC

- 500 keV,10 kW DC LINAC at BRIT
- 3 MeV, 30 kW DC LINAC at EBC
- 10 MeV, 10 kW RF LINAC at EBC
- 9MeV, ~1 kW RF LINAC at ECIL
- 30 MeV RF LINAC at Vizag
- 9 MeV ~400 W RF COMPACT LINAC
- •100MeV, 100 kW RF LINAC for neutrons (proposed)



### 500 keV Electron Accelerator Installation



### VOLTAGE MULTIPLIER COLUMN of 3 MV ACCELERATOR

•3 MV , 30 kW Parallel Coupled Column
•LaB6 Cathode based Electron Gun
•120 kHz RF Oscillator and Power Transformer
•Beam Extracted in Air

### First Floor View of 10 MeV RF linac



## **Specifications**

Energy: 10 MeV Avg.beam current: 1 mA Pk beam current: 250mA Beam power : 10 kW Pulse width : 10 µs Rep.rate: 400 Hz Length : 1 m

## Ground Floor View of 10 MeV RF linac



# 9 MeV Cargo Scanning Linac

Beam Energy	9-10 MeV
Beam Current	0.2 mA
Beam Power	2 kW(max.)
X-rays beam dose rate	30 Gy/min/m
X-rays final beam focal spot size	2 mm
x-rays beam symmetry	± 5 % at 7.5 deg. off the central axis.
Leakage of Radiation	0.1 %
X-rays field size.	A standard 30 Deg. Cone
Computer Control & Instrumentation	A standard control system with programmable logic controller
EMI/EMC	The system should comply with EMI/EMC regulations.

# 9 MeV Linac assembly at ECIL, Hyderabad



## 30 MeV, 3 kW RF Electron Linac



# Accelerator-Driven System for thorium fuel and waste transmutation



## Schematic of ADSS

#### ADSS has exclusive niche in:

- Thorium fueled reactor due to enhanced availability of nonfission neutrons of spallation target for faster breeding.
   (This will enable early introduction and faster growth
  - of thorium fuel-based power reactors in the country.)
- Transmuting transuranic (TRU) elements in the spent fuel with enhanced safety with subcritical operation, that is otherwise difficult in a conventional fast reactor. (This will reduce/eliminate need for geologic repository to dispose spent fuel.)

# **Proton Beam as a Driver for ADSS**

Proton Beam

Fue

12

Beam channel

- By Spallation process with GeV energy protons striking on high Z target.
- Number of neutrons per proton per Watt of beam power reaches a plateau just above 1 GeV.



# **Electron Beam as a Driver for ADSS**

neutrons are generated via photonuclear and photo fission reactions from Bremsstrahlung photons.

In the photon energy range from threshold (few MeV) to about 30 MeV, neutron production is via the Giant Dipole Resonance (GDR) mechanism.



Beam Energy	Neutron Yeild	Beam Current	Beam Power	Neutron Flux
(MeV)	$(n s^{-1} kW^{-1})$	(mA)	(MW)	(n/s)
50 (U – Target)	$3.25 \times 10^{12}$	100-200	5-10	1.625-3.2X10 <sup>16</sup>
50 (W-Target)	2.17X10 <sup>12</sup>	100-200	5-10	1.085-2.17X10 <sup>16</sup>
50 (Pb – Taget)	1.97X10 <sup>12</sup>	100-200	5-10	0.985-1.97X10 <sup>16</sup> <b>13</b>
50 (Ta–Target)	1.91X10 <sup>12</sup>	100-200	5-10	0.955-1.91X10 <sup>16</sup>



# **Energy Gain for Electron Driver**

Energy gain of the system in conventional reactor systems:

$$G = \frac{0.20 \text{ k N}_0}{\text{v}(1 - \text{k})\text{Ep}}$$

 $E_p$  = beam energy of the incident particle.

- $N_0 = no.$  of primary neutrons.
- For 5 MW, 50 MeV e- beam,
- Beam current will be ~ 0.1 A ~ 100 mA
- The no. of neutrons produced will be  $\sim 2.05 \times 10^{16}$  n/sec.

$$G = 1.42$$
 for  $k = 0.97$   
 $G = 2.15$  for  $k = 0.98$ 

Neutron-generating Target And Two-core Subcritical Blanket With Fast And Thermal Neutron Spectrum Schematic Diagram Of The Design Of Subcritical Blanket:

- 1 Target;
- 2 Fast neutron zone;
- 3 Thermal neutron zone;
- 4 layer of B4C;
- 5 layer of ZrH2;
- 6 fuel assembly; and
- 7 external reflector of neutrons.



## Two-core Subcritical Blanket With Fast And Thermal Neutron Spectrum

- The subcritical two-core blanket consists of the internal zone with fast neutron spectrum and external zone with thermal spectrum.
- A special "neutron filter" from B4C-ZrH2 is installed between the external and internal zones, which decreases the effect of thermal neutrons on the fast zone, compared to the case when there is no material absorbing neutrons.
- The «neutron filter», as noted above, consists of a layer of boron carbide of 2.15 g/cm<sup>3</sup> density with the enrichment of 10B isotope of 50% at ~ 2.4 cm thickness and a stratum of zirconium hydride of 1.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup> density,~ 4.8 cm thick.

Two-core Subcritical Blanket With Fast And Thermal Neutron Spectrum (Cont.....)

- filter reflects about 30% of neutrons from the fast zone side (93% of having energy over 0.1 MeV) and allows the penetration of about 42% of neutrons to the thermal zone
- The high enrichment uranium dioxide, UO2, is used as fuel in the fast zone, whereas fuel composition of zirconium hydride and uranium-zirconium is used in the thermal zone.
- From the exterior, the reactor is surrounded with reflector from Pb-Bi of 20 cm thick.
- with a considerable softening of spectrum (15% out of neutrons penetrating have energy below 4.6 eV). In the opposite direction, from the external zone, the portion of neutrons penetrating to the internal zone amounts to ~ 17%, there being ~ 0.3% neutrons with energy below 4.6 eV.

# Calculation Characteristics Of Homogenous Targets Target Material

$E_{\rm elec}$			$+0.07^{238}$ U <sup>a</sup>
MeV	Pb—Bi	<sup>238</sup> U	$0.3 (Pb-Bi) + 0.63^{235}$
50	$\gamma_n^{\text{tot}} = 1.347 \times 10^{-2}$ ( $\gamma,xn$ ) = 1.337 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ( $n,xn$ ) = 7.660 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	$\gamma_n^{tot} = 3.199 \times 10^{-2}$ ( $\gamma,xn$ ) = 2.748 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ( $n,xn$ ) = 1.658 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ( $n,f$ ) = 4.336 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	$\gamma_n^{tot} = 7.604 \times 10^{-2}$ ( $\gamma,xn$ ) = 2.610 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ( $n,xn$ ) = 3.835 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ( $n,f$ ) = 4.951 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>
100	$\gamma_n^{\text{tot}} = 2.823 \times 10^{-2}$ ( $\gamma,xn$ ) = 2.799 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ( $n,xn$ ) = 2.388 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	$\gamma_n^{\text{tot}} = 6.607 \times 10^{-2}$ ( $\gamma,xn$ ) = 5.676 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ( $n,xn$ ) = 3.905 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ( $n,f$ ) = 8.889 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	$\begin{split} \gamma_n^{\text{tot}} &= 1.580 \times 10^{-1} \\ (\gamma, xn) &= 5.417 \times 10^{-2} \\ (n, xn) &= 7.661 \times 10^{-4} \\ (n, f) &= 1.030 \times 10^{-1} \end{split}$

The total number of fissions in the system (M = M1 + M2) normalized to one neutron from the external source (target) is 52, and the neutron multiplication (N = vM) is ~130.

For example, the neutron increase is 50 in a single-zone subcritical blanket with multiplication coefficient 0.98 and 130 in this case. The neutron gain due to the cascade is approximately a factor of  $^{130}/_{50} = 2.6$ .

## For 4 MW Electron Beam ; gain G ~ 12.89 Power output will be ~ 12.89 x 4 = ~ 51 MW.

A dual-zone reactor increases the power by approximately a factor of 12.

The power of the system with input electron beam power 4 MeV will be ~ 50 MW (27.6% is released in the fast zone and 72.4% in the thermal zone of the blanket). The average neutron flux density is  $3.8 \cdot 10^{14} \operatorname{sec}^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{cm}^{-2}$  in the fast zone and  $6 \cdot 10^{13} \operatorname{sec}^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{cm}^{-2}$ in the thermal zone. 20 **RF Electron Linacs :** 

- Photonuclear and photofission neutrons
- Yield/beam power second only to proton spallation
- Robust technology
- Flexible output characteristics
- Inexpensive and "small," transportable
- Evaporation neutron spectrum, similar to spallation source, but less high energy tail

### **Specifications of the electron driver accelerator :**

- Electron driver is to induce more than 10\*\*16 fissions/s in the uranium target (ignoring for the moment the issue of power density deposition in the target).
- This goal leads to beam specifications as follows:
- Final beam energy: 50 to 70 MeV.
- Average beam current: 100 to 200 mA.
- For 5-10 MW electron beam, a high-power CW superconducting linac is suitable
- 100% of the RF power transmitted to the beam
- Cryogenics
- Compact Design

# **ELECTRON INJECTORS**



Fig. 2.3: Two alternative schemes for the injector section.

Frequency	350 MHz	700 MHz	1.3 GHz
Electron gun	→ LIL thermionic guns (current increase needed)	(Non-existent at present)	→ TTF injector 1 40 kV gun + 300 kV electrostatic column, 1mA CW, 216.7 MHz (current increase needed)
SC cavities	$\rightarrow$ LEP 4-cells $\beta$ =1 cavity	→ big R&D effort going on for proton cavities. (easily applicable to electron cav.)	$\rightarrow$ TTF 9-cells $\beta$ =1 cavity
RF CW power couplers	$\rightarrow$ LEP coupler: design power = 210 kW	→ AAA/APT coupler: design power = 210 kW, >500 kW on test stand at 70K	To be developed (from TTF couplers 200 kW peak - 1.5 kW average ?)
RF CW power supplies	→ LEP 1.1 & 1.3 MW klystrons	→ AAA/APT 1 MW klystrons → Thalès IOT 80 kW (300 kW to be developed)	Easy development from Thalès 10 MW peak – 250 kW average – klystrons

#### Table 2.1: Potential sources of RF Components.

-24

Table 2.2: Main parameters for a proposed EURISOL β=1 section at different frequencies (20 mA, 5–50 MeV\*). The total AC efficiency is obtained by assuming an AC-to-RF conversion factor of 60 %, and cryogenic efficiencies of 0.1 % at 2 K and 0.3 % at 4.5 K, respectively.

Frequency	350 MHz	700 MHz	1.3 GHz
No. of cavities needed	8 (4-cells) in 2 LEP modules	4 (5-cells) in 1 module	4 (9-cells) in 1 module
Operating accelerating field	3.3 MV/m	10.5 MV/m	10.8 MV/m
Section length	25 m	7 m	7 m
Total RF power needed	0.9 MW	0.9 MW	0.9 MW
Operating $Q_{o}$	2.10 <sup>9</sup>	1.10 <sup>10</sup>	5.10 <sup>9</sup>
Total thermal load	760 W @ 4.5K	130 W @ 2K	140 W @ 2K
Total AC efficiency	51.3%	55.3%	54.8%

\* Easily upgraded to 30 mA, 5–70 MeV, just by raising the input RF power.

# Existing availability

	350 MHz	700 MHz	1.3 GHz
Electron gun	→ LIL thermionic guns (current up-grade needed)	?	→ TTF injector I 40 kV gun + 300 kV elect. column, 1mA CW, 216.7 MHz (current up-grade needed)
SC cavities	$\rightarrow$ LEP 4-cells $\beta$ =1 cav.	→ big R&D effort going on for proton cav. (easily applicable to electron cav.)	→ TTF 9-cells β=1 cav.
RF CW power couplers	→ LEP coupler: design power = 140 kW, 500 kW on cavity (power up-grade needed?)	→ AAA/APT coupler: design power = 210 kW, >500 kW on teststand @70K (power up-grade needed?)	To be developed (from TTF couplers 200kW peak- 1.5kW average ?)
RF CW power supplies	→ LEP 1.1 & 1.3MW klystrons	→ AAA-APT 1 MW klystrons → Thalès IOT 80 kW (300 kW to be developed)	Easy development from Thalès 10MW peak -250 kW average- klystrons

26

 $e^{i\pi i x} v$ 

EURISOL



THANK YOU