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My talk today concerns the application of decomposition, a new notion in quantum field theory (QFT), to resolution of anomalies as proposed in Wang-Wen-Witten.

Briefly, decomposition is the observation that some QFTs are secretly equivalent to sums of other QFTs, known as ‘universes.’

When this happens, we say the QFT ‘decomposes.’ Decomposition of the QFT can be applied to give insight into its properties.
What does it mean for one QFT to be a sum of other QFTs?

(Hellerman et al '06)

1) Existence of projection operators

The theory contains topological operators $\Pi_i$ such that

$$\Pi_i \Pi_j = \delta_{i,j} \Pi_j \sum_i \Pi_i = 1$$

Correlation functions:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_m \rangle = \sum_i \langle \Pi_i \mathcal{O}_1 \cdots \mathcal{O}_m \rangle = \sum_i \langle (\Pi_i \mathcal{O}_1) \cdots (\Pi_i \mathcal{O}_m) \rangle = \sum_i \langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m \rangle_i$$

2) Partition functions decompose

$$Z = \sum_{\text{states}} \exp(-\beta H) = \sum_i Z_i = \sum_i \sum_i \exp(-\beta H_i)$$

(on a connected spacetime)

This reflects a (higher-form) symmetry....
Decomposition $\neq$ spontaneous symmetry breaking

**SSB:**

Superselection sectors:
- separated by dynamical domain walls
- only genuinely disjoint in IR
- only one overall QFT

**Universes:**
- separated by non-dynamical domain walls
- disjoint at all energy scales
- multiple different QFTs present

Prototype:

(see e.g. Tanizaki-Unsal 1912.01033)
There are lots of examples of decomposition!

Orbifolds: we’ll see many examples later today. (T Pantev, ES ’05; D Robbins, ES, T Vandermeulen ’21)
(In these examples, a subgroup of the orbifold group acts trivially.)

Gauge theories:
• 2d $G$ gauge theory w/ center-invt matter = union of $G/Z(G)$ theories w/ discrete theta (ES ’14)
• 2d pure $G$ Yang-Mills = sum of invertibles indexed by irreps of $G$ (Nguyen, Tanizaki, Unsal ’21)
• 4d gauge theory with restriction on instantons (Tanizaki, Unsal ’19)

Sigma models on gerbes = disjoint union of sigma models on spaces w/ B fields
Solves tech issue w/ cluster decomposition. (T Pantev, ES ’05)

TFTs: 2d unitary TFTs w/ semisimple local operator algebras decompose to invertibles
Examples:
• 2d abelian BF theory at level $k$ = disjoint union of $k$ invertibles (sigma models on pts)
• 2d Dijkgraaf-Witten = sum of invertible theories, as many as irreps
(In fact, is a special case of orbifolds discussed later in this talk.)
Fun features of decomposition:

Multiverse interference effects

Ex: 2d $SU(2)$ gauge theory w/ center-invariant matter = $SO(3)_+ + SO(3)_-$

Summing over the two universes ($SO(3)$ gauge theories)
cancels out $SO(3)$ bundles which don’t arise from $SU(2)$.

Wilson lines = defects between universes

Ex: 2d abelian BF theory at level $k$

Projectors: $\Pi_m = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \xi^{nm} \mathcal{O}_n$, $\xi = \exp(2\pi i/k)$

Clock-shift commutation relations: $\mathcal{O}_p W_q = \xi^{pq} W_q \mathcal{O}_p \Leftrightarrow \Pi_m W_p = W_p \Pi_{m+p} \mod k$

Wormholes between universes

Ex: U(1) susy gauge theory in 2d: 2 chirals $p$ charge 2, 4 chirals $\phi$ charge -1, $W = \sum \phi_i \phi_j A^{ij}(p)$

Describes double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ (sheets are universes), linked over locus where $\phi$ massless — Euclidean wormhole
What do the examples have in common?
When is one QFT a sum of other QFTs?

Answer: in $d$ spacetime dimensions, a theory decomposes when it has a $(d - 1)$-form symmetry.

(2d: Hellerman et al '06; d>2: Tanizaki-Unsal '19, Cherman-Jacobson '20)

Decomposition & higher-form symmetries go hand-in-hand.

Today I'm interested in the case $d = 2$, so get a decomposition if a $(d - 1) = 1$-form symmetry is present.
What is a (linearly realized) one-form symmetry in 2d?

For this talk, *intuitively*, this will be a `group’ that exchanges nonperturbative sectors.

Example: $G$ gauge theory or orbifold in which matter/fields invariant under $K \subset G$

(Technically, to talk about a 1-form symmetry, we assume $K$ abelian,
but decompositions exist more generally.)

Then, at least for $K$ central, nonperturbative sectors are invariant under

$$(G - \text{bundle}) \leftrightarrow (G - \text{bundle}) \otimes (K - \text{bundle})$$

$$A \leftrightarrow A + A'$$

At least when $K$ central, this is the action of the `group’ of $K$-bundles.

That group is denoted $BK$ or $K^{(1)}$

One-form symmetries can also be seen in algebra of topological local operators,
where they are often realized *nonlinearly* (eg 2d TFTs).

What sort of QFTs will I look at today? ....
The particular QFTs I’m interested in today, which have a decomposition, are (1+1)-dimensional theories with global 1-form symmetries of the following form:

- **Gauge theory or orbifold w/ trivially-acting subgroup**
  
  \( \text{<-> non-complete charge spectrum} \)

- **Theory w/ restriction on instantons**

- **Sigma models on gerbes**
  
  \( \text{fiber bundles with fibers = `groups' of 1-form symmetries } G^{(1)} = BG \)

- **Algebra of topological local operators**

  Decomposition (into ‘universes’) often relates these pictures.

**Examples:**

- restriction on instantons = “multiverse interference effect”

- 1-form symmetry of QFT = translation symmetry along fibers of gerbe

- trivial group action b/c \( BG = [\text{point}/G] \)
Since 2005, decomposition has been checked in many examples in many ways. Examples:

- GLSM’s: mirrors, quantum cohomology rings (Coulomb branch)  
  (T Pantev, ES ’05; Gu et al ’18–’20)
- Orbifolds: partition f’ns, massless spectra, elliptic genera  
  (T Pantev, ES ’05; Robbins et al ’21)
- Open strings, K theory  
  (Hellerman et al hep-th/0606034)
- Susy gauge theories w/ localization  
  (ES 1404.3986)
- Nonsusy pure Yang-Mills ala Migdal  
  (ES ’14; Nguyen, Tanizaki, Unsal ’21)
- Adjoint QCD$_2$  
  (Komargodski et al ’20)
- Versions in d-dim’l theories w/ (d-1)-form symmetries  
  (Tanizaki, Unsal, ’19; Cherman, Jacobson ’20)
- Predictions for Gromov-Witten theory  
  (checked by H-H Tseng, Y Jiang, etc starting ’08)
- Nonperturbative constructions of geometries in GLSMs  
  (Caldararu et al 0709.3855, Hori ’11, …)
- Elliptic genera  
  (Eager et al ’20)
- Anomalies  
  (Robbins et al ’21)

Applications include:
- Sigma models with target stacks & gerbes  
  (T Pantev, ES ’05)
- Predictions for Gromov-Witten theory  
  (checked by H-H Tseng, Y Jiang, etc starting ’08)
- Nonperturbative constructions of geometries in GLSMs  
  (Caldararu et al 0709.3855, Hori ’11, …)
- Elliptic genera  
  (Eager et al ’20)
- Anomalies  
  (Robbins et al ’21)

Today, I’ll look at application to anomalies....
My goal today is to apply decomposition to an anomaly resolution procedure in orbifolds (Wang-Wen-Witten ’17).

Briefly, the idea of www is that if a given orbifold \([X/G]\) is ill-defined because of an anomaly (which obstructs the gauging), then replace \(G\) with a larger group \(\Gamma\) whose action is anomaly-free.

\[
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
\]

The larger group \(\Gamma\) has a subgroup \(K \subset \Gamma\) that acts trivially on \(X\), and \(G = \Gamma/K\).

However, orbifolds with trivially-acting subgroups are standard examples in which decomposition arises (in 1+1 dimensions), so one expects decomposition is relevant here. 

(Hellerman et al ’06)
Plan for the remainder of the talk:

- Describe decomposition in orbifolds with trivially-acting subgroups,
- Add a new modular invariant phase: “quantum symmetry,” in $H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$,
- Review the anomaly-resolution procedure of (Wang-Wen-Witten '17),
- and apply decomposition to that procedure.

What we’ll find is that, in (1+1)-dimensions,

$$\text{QFT}( "\overline{[X/G]}" = [X/\Gamma]_B ) = \text{QFT}( \text{copies and covers of } [X/(\text{nonanomalous subgp of } G)] )$$

as a consequence of decomposition.

This gives a simple understanding of why the www procedure works, as well as of the result.
Decomposition in orbifolds in (1+1) dimensions

Let’s begin by discussing ordinary orbifolds w/o extra phases. (We’ll need a more complicated version for anomaly resolution, but let’s start here, and build up.)

Consider an orbifold \([X/\Gamma]\), where \(K \subset \Gamma\) acts trivially.

\[
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \quad (K \text{ need not be central}) \quad (K, \Gamma, G \text{ finite})
\]

Decomposition implies

\[
\text{QFT} ([X/\Gamma]) = \text{QFT} \left( \frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G} \right) \hat{\omega}
\]

(Hellerman et al '06)

\(\hat{K}\) = set of iso classes of irreps of \(K\)

\(G\) acts on \(\hat{K}\): \(\rho(k) \mapsto \rho(hkh^{-1})\) for \(h \in \Gamma\) a lift of \(g \in G\)

\(\hat{\omega}\) = phases called “discrete torsion” — see refs for details.
Decomposition in orbifolds in (1+1) dimensions

Consider an orbifold \([X/\Gamma]\), where \(K \subset \Gamma\) acts trivially.

\[
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad (K \text{ need not be central})
\]

Decomposition implies

\[
\text{QFT}([X/\Gamma]) = \text{QFT}\left(\frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G}\right) \quad \text{(Hellerman et al '06)}
\]

\(\hat{K}\) = set of iso classes of irreps of \(\hat{K}\)

Universes (summands of decomposition) correspond to orbits of \(G\) action on \(\hat{K}\).

Projectors: For \(R = \bigoplus_i R_i, \quad R_i \in \hat{K}\) related by the action of \(G\), we have

\[
\Pi_R = \sum_i \frac{\dim R_i}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \chi_{R_i}(k^{-1}) \tau_k \quad \text{(Wedderburn's theorem for center of group algebra)}
\]
Decomposition in orbifolds in \((1+1)\) dimensions

Consider an orbifold \([X/\Gamma]\), where \(K \subset \Gamma\) acts trivially.

\[
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \quad (K \text{ need not be central})
\]

Decomposition implies

\[
\text{QFT} ([X/\Gamma]) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G} \right] \hat{\omega} \right)
\]

\(\hat{K}\) = set of iso classes of irreps of \(K\)

If \(K\) is in the center of \(\Gamma\), then the \(G\) action on \(\hat{K}\) is trivial, and decomposition specializes to

\[
\text{QFT} ([X/\Gamma]) = \text{QFT} \left( \bigsqcup_{\hat{K}} [X/G] \hat{\omega} \right) \quad \text{— a disjoint union, as many elements as } \hat{K}
\]

More gen'ly, get both copies and covers of \([X/G]\), as we shall see.
To make this more concrete, let’s walk through an example, where everything can be made completely explicit.

**Example:** Orbifold $[X/D_4]$ in which the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ center acts trivially.

— has $B\mathbb{Z}_2$ (1-form) symmetry

$$D_4/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

so this is closely related to a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold

Decomposition predicts

\[
\text{QFT } ([X/D_4]) = \text{QFT } \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G} \right] \hat{\omega} \right) = \text{QFT } \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2}{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} \right] \hat{\omega} \right) \\
= \text{QFT } ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{w/o d.t.}}) \biguplus \text{QFT } ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{d.t.}})
\]

(b/c $K = \mathbb{Z}_2$ central in $\Gamma = D_4$)

Let’s check this explicitly....
Example, cont’d

\[ \text{QFT} \left( [X/D_4] \right) = \text{QFT} \left( [X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{w/o d.t.}} \right) \bigcup \text{QFT} \left( [X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{d.t.}} \right) \]

At the level of operators, one reason for this is that the theory admits projection operators:

Let \( \hat{\gamma} \) denote the (dim 0) twist field associated to the trivially-acting \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \):

\[ \hat{\gamma} \text{ obeys } \hat{\gamma}^2 = 1. \]

Using that relation, we form projection operators:

\[ \Pi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \hat{\gamma}) \quad (= \text{specialization of formula given earlier}) \]

\[ \Pi_{\pm}^2 = \Pi_{\pm} \quad \Pi_{\pm} \Pi_{\pm} = 0 \quad \Pi_{+} + \Pi_{-} = 1 \]

Next: compare partition functions....
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of \([X/D_4]\) \hfill (T Pantev, ES ’05)

\[D_4 = \{1, z, a, b, az, bz, ab, ba = abz\}\]

where \(z\) generates the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) center.

Take the (1+1)-dim'l spacetime to be \(T^2\).

The partition function of any orbifold \([X/\Gamma]\) on \(T^2\) is

\[Z_{T^2}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{gh=hg} Z_{g,h}\] where \(Z_{g,h} = \begin{pmatrix} g \rightarrow X \end{pmatrix}\)

(Think of \(Z_{g,h}\) as sigma model to \(X\) with branch cuts \(g, h\).)

We’re going to see that

\[Z_{T^2}(X/D_4) = Z_{T^2}(X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) + Z_{T^2}(X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)_{d.t.}\]
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of $[X/D_4]$ (T Pantev, ES ’05)

$$D_4 = \{1, z, a, b, az, bz, ab, ba = abz\}$$

where $z$ generates the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ center.

$$D_4/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{ab}\} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{a} = \{a, az\} \quad \text{etc}$$

$$Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{1}{|D_4|} \sum_{g,h \in D_4, \; gh=hg} Z_{g,h} \quad \text{where} \quad Z_{g,h} = \begin{pmatrix} g & \rightarrow \ X \\ h \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $z$ acts trivially,

$Z_{g,h}$ is symmetric under multiplication by $z$

$$Z_{g,h} = g \begin{array}{c} h \end{array} = gz \begin{array}{c} h \end{array} = g \begin{array}{c} hz \end{array} = gz \begin{array}{c} hz \end{array}$$

This is the $B\mathbb{Z}_2$ 1-form symmetry.
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of $[X/D_4]$ \[\text{(T Pantev, ES ’05)}\]

\[D_4 = \{1, z, a, b, az, bz, ab, ba = abz\}\]

where $z$ generates the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ center.

\[D_4/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \overline{a}, \overline{b}, \overline{ab}\} \quad \text{where } \overline{a} = \{a, az\} \text{ etc}\]

\[Z_{T2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{1}{|D_4|} \sum_{gh \in D_4, gh = hg} Z_{g,h} \quad \text{where } Z_{g,h} = \begin{pmatrix} g \rightarrow X \end{pmatrix}
\]

Each $D_4$ twisted sector $(Z_{g,h})$ that appears is the same as a $D_4/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ twisted sector, appearing with multiplicity $|\mathbb{Z}_2|^2 = 4$, except for the sectors $\overline{a} \overline{b}$, $\overline{a} \overline{ab}$, $\overline{b} \overline{ab}$ which do not appear.

Restriction on nonperturbative sectors
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of \([X/D_4]\)

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2|}{|D_4|} |\mathbb{Z}_2|^2 (Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - (\text{some twisted sectors}))
\]

\[
= 2 (Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - (\text{some twisted sectors}))
\]

Different theory than \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) orbifold

Physics knows when we gauge even a trivially-acting group!
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of \([X/D_4]\) \hfill (T Pantev, ES ’05)

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2|}{|D_4|} |\mathbb{Z}_2|^2 \left( Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - \text{(some twisted sectors)} \right)
\]

\[
= 2 \left( Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - \text{(some twisted sectors)} \right)
\]

Fact: given any one partition function \(Z_{T^2}([X/G]) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh=hc} Z_{g,h} \)

we can multiply in \(SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\)-invariant phases \(\epsilon(g, h)\)

to get another consistent partition function (for a different theory)

\[
Z' = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh=hc} \epsilon(g, h) Z_{g,h}
\]

There is a universal choice of such phases, determined by elements of \(H^2(G, U(1))\)

This is called “discrete torsion.”
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of \([X/D_4]\)

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2|}{|D_4|} |\mathbb{Z}_2|^2 \left( Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - \text{(some twisted sectors)} \right)
\]

\[
= 2 \left( Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - \text{(some twisted sectors)} \right)
\]

In a \(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2\) orbifold, discrete torsion \(\in H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2\), and the nontrivial element acts as a sign on the twisted sectors

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\
\bar{b} & \bar{a}b & \bar{a}b
\end{array}
\]

the same sectors which were omitted above.

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{w/o d.t.}}) + Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{d.t.}})
\]

Adding the universes projects out some sectors — interference effect.
Example, cont’d

Compute the partition function of \([X/D_4]\) (T Pantev, ES ’05)

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2|}{|D_4|} |\mathbb{Z}_2|^2 (Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - (\text{some twisted sectors}))
\]

\[
= 2 (Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]) - (\text{some twisted sectors}))
\]

Discrete torsion is \(H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2\),

and acts as a sign on the twisted sectors

which were omitted above.

\[
Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{w/o d.t.}}) + Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{d.t.}})
\]

Matches prediction of decomposition

\[
\text{QFT}([X/D_4]) = \text{QFT}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{w/o d.t.}}) \bigcup \text{QFT}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{\text{d.t.}})
\]
Example, cont’d

\[ Z_{T^2}([X/D_4]) = Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{w/o \, d.t.}) + Z_{T^2}([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{d.t.}) \]

Matches prediction of decomposition

\[ \text{QFT} ([X/D_4]) = \text{QFT} ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{w/o \, d.t.}) \bigcup \text{QFT} ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{d.t.}) \]

The computation above demonstrated that the partition function on \( T^2 \)
has the form predicted by decomposition.
The same is also true of partition functions at higher genus
— just more combinatorics.
(see hep-th/0606034, section 5.2 for details)

Only slightly novel aspect: in gen’l, one finds dilaton shifts,
which mostly I’ll suppress in this talk.
Example, cont’d

Massless spectra for $X = T^6$  

(T Pantev, ES ’05)

Massless spectrum of $D_4$ orbifold

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 54 & 0 \\
2 & 54 & 54 & 2 \\
0 & 54 & 0 \\
0 & 2 \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 51 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 51 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 \\
1 & 51 & 51 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orb’ w/o d.t.
spectrum of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orb’ w/ d.t.

matching the prediction of decomposition

\[\text{CFT} ([X/D_4]) = \text{CFT} ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{w/o\text{ d.t.}}) \bigg\downarrow \text{CFT} ([X/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]_{d.t.})\]
This computation was not a one-off, but in fact verifies a prediction in Hellerman et al ’06 regarding QFTs in (1+1)-dims with 1-form symmetry.

Another example: Triv’ly acting subgroup **not** in center

Consider \([X/\mathbb{H}]\), \(\mathbb{H}\) = eight-element gp of unit quaternions, where \(\langle i \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4 \subset \mathbb{H}\) acts trivially.

Decomposition predicts

\[
\text{QFT} ([X/\Gamma]) = \text{QFT} \left( \frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G} \right)
\]

(Hellerman et al ’06)

where \(\hat{K}\) = irreps of \(K\)
\(\hat{\omega}\) = discrete torsion on universes

Here, \(G = \mathbb{H}/\langle i \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2\) acts nontriv’ly on \(\hat{K} = \mathbb{Z}_4\), interchanging 2 elements, so

\[
\text{QFT} ([X/\mathbb{H}]) = \text{QFT} \left( X \bigsqcup [X/\mathbb{Z}_2] \bigsqcup [X/\mathbb{Z}_2] \right)
\]

(Hellerman et al, hep-th/0606034, sect. 5.4)

— different universes; \(X \neq [X/\mathbb{Z}_2]\)

— easily checked
So far I’ve outlined how decomposition works in orbifolds \([X/\Gamma]\),
with trivially-acting \(K \subset \Gamma\),
and no discrete torsion or other phase modifications (in the \(\Gamma\) orbifold).

However, in order to apply this to anomaly resolution,
we’re going to need to understand decomposition in orbifolds
modified by (modular-invariant) phases.

Next: decomposition in orbifolds \([X/\Gamma]_{\omega}\) with discrete torsion \(\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))\)....
Decomposition in orbifolds in (1+1)-dims with discrete torsion

(Robbins et al '21)

Consider $[X/\Gamma]_{\omega}$, where $K \subset \Gamma$ acts trivially, $\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$, and define $G = \Gamma/K$.

$$1 \rightarrow K \overset{i}{\rightarrow} \Gamma \overset{\pi}{\rightarrow} G \rightarrow 1 \ (\text{assume central})$$

$$H^2(G, U(1)) \overset{\pi^*}{\rightarrow} (\text{Ker } i^* \subset H^2(\Gamma, U(1))) \overset{\beta}{\rightarrow} H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = \text{Hom}(G, \hat{K})$$

Cases:

1) If $i^*\omega \neq 0$,

$$\text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]_{\omega}) = \text{QFT } \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \hat{K}i^*\omega}{G} \right]_{\hat{\omega}} \right)$$

2) If $i^*\omega = 0$ and $\beta(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$\text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]_{\omega}) = \text{QFT } \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } \beta(\omega)}{\text{Ker } \beta(\omega)} \right]_{\hat{\omega}} \right)$$

3) If $i^*\omega = 0$ and $\beta(\omega) = 0$, then $\omega = \pi^*\overline{\omega}$ for $\overline{\omega} \in H^2(G, U(1))$ and

$$\text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]_{\omega}) = \text{QFT } \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \hat{K}}{G} \right]_{\overline{\omega} + \hat{\omega}} \right)$$

Checked in numerous examples
Let’s get back on track.

My goal today is to talk about anomaly resolution in 1+1 dimensions. Decomposition will play a vital role in understanding how the anomalies are resolved. Recall the idea of \( \text{WWW} \) is that given an anomalous (ill-defined) \([X/G]\), replace \( G \) by a larger finite group \( \Gamma \) obeying certain properties,

\[
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1,
\]

and add phases.

Because \( \Gamma \) has a subgroup \( K \) that acts trivially, orbifolds \([X/\Gamma]\) will decompose, into copies & covers of \([X/G]\).

However, just getting copies of \([X/G]\) won’t help. We also need to add certain new phases, which I will describe next....
A quantum symmetry is a modular-invariant phase in orbifolds in which a subgroup $K$ acts trivially. Classified by elements of $H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = \text{Hom}(G, \hat{K})$.

It acts on twisted sector states by phases. Schematically:

$$g z h = B(\pi(h), z) \left( \begin{array}{c} g \\ h \end{array} \right)$$

where $z \in K$, $g, h \in \Gamma$, $B \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$

New modular invariant phases: quantum symmetries (Tachikawa '17; Robbins et al '21)

These generalize the old notion of `quantum symmetries' in the orbifolds literature; those old quantum symmetries were determined by discrete torsion, but the ones we need for anomaly resolution, aren't....
New modular invariant phases: quantum symmetries

These are modular invariant — analogous to (but different from) discrete torsion.

Work on $T^2$. Geometrically, this admits `Dehn twists'

Under such a twist,

$$g \begin{array}{c} h \\ \end{array} \rightarrow g^a h^b \begin{array}{c} g^c h^d \\ \end{array}$$

for $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$

Discrete torsion: $\epsilon(g^a h^b, g^c h^d) = \epsilon(g, h)$

Quantum symmetry: $\sum_{k_1, k_2 \in K} \epsilon(g^{a k_1} h^{b k_2}, g^{c k_1} h^{d k_2}) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in K} \epsilon(gk_1, hk_2)$
New modular invariant phases: quantum symmetries

A quantum symmetry is a modular-invariant phase in orbifolds in which a subgroup $K$ acts trivially. Classified by elements of $H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = \text{Hom}(G, \hat{K})$.

Those quantum symmetries in the image of $\beta$ are equivalent to discrete torsion:

$$(\ker i^* \subset H^2(\Gamma, U(1))) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^3(G, U(1))$$

(Hochschild '77)

Specifically, $\beta(\omega) \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$ for $\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$ s.t. $\omega |_K = 0$.

Example: old-fashioned quantum symmetry in orbifolds

Start with $[X/\mathbb{Z}_n]$. Old story: This admits a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry that acts on twist fields, with the property that $\text{QFT}([X/\mathbb{Z}_n]) = \text{QFT}([X/\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n]) = \text{QFT}(X)$

However, the phases are determined by discrete torsion; $B = \beta(\omega)$

(and rel'n to $X$ is a special case of decomposition)
New modular invariant phases: quantum symmetries

A quantum symmetry is a modular-invariant phase in orbifolds in which a subgroup $K$ acts trivially.

Classified by elements of $H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = \text{Hom}(G, \hat{K})$.

Those quantum symmetries in the image of $\beta$ are equivalent to discrete torsion:

$$(\text{Ker } i^* \subset H^2(\Gamma, U(1))) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^3(G, U(1))$$

(Original source: Hochschild '77)

For purposes of resolving anomalies, we need $B \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$ such that $d_2B \neq 0$.

These cases are not in $\text{im } \beta$, so not determined by discrete torsion $\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$.

They're also of independent interest, beyond anomaly resolution.

How does decomposition work with such phases?...
Decomposition in the presence of a quantum symmetry

Decomposition:

\[
\text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } B}{\text{Ker } B} \right] \hat{\omega} \right) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } \beta(\omega)}{\text{Ker } \beta(\omega)} \right] \hat{\omega} \right)
\]

where \( B \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = \text{Hom}(G, \hat{K}) \)

This is more or less uniquely determined by consistency with previous results.

Recall for discrete torsion \( \omega \in \text{Ker } i^* \subset H^2(\Gamma, U(1)) \), with \( \beta(\omega) \neq 0 \),

\[
\text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } \beta(\omega)}{\text{Ker } \beta(\omega)} \right] \hat{\omega} \right) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } \beta(\omega)}{\text{Ker } \beta(\omega)} \right] \hat{\omega} \right)
\]

The result at top needs to include this as a special case, and it does.
Decomposition in the presence of a quantum symmetry

Decomposition:

$$QFT \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } B}{\text{Ker } B} \right] \right) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \frac{X \times \text{Coker } B}{\text{Ker } B} \right] \right)$$

Example: \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4, \) \( 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1 \)

Pick nontrivial \( B \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2. \)

\( \text{Ker } B = 0, \text{ Coker } B = 0 \)

Predict: \( QFT \left( \left[ X/\Gamma \right]_B \right) = QFT (X) \)

Check in partition function....
Decomposition in the presence of a quantum symmetry

Decomposition:

\[ \text{QFT} \left( \frac{X / \Gamma}{B} \right) = \text{QFT} \left( \frac{X \times \text{Coker } B}{\text{Ker } B} \hat{\omega} \right) \]

Example: \( \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4, \ 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1 \)

Predict: \( \text{QFT} \left( \frac{X / \Gamma}{B} \right) = \text{QFT} (X) \)

Check \( T^2 \) partition function:

\[ Z_{ij} = (-)^i Z_{i,j-2} = (-)^i Z_{i-2,j} \]

\[ Z \left( \frac{X / \mathbb{Z}_4}{B} \right) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}_4|} \sum_{i,j=0}^{4} Z_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} (Z_{00} + Z_{02} + Z_{20} + Z_{22}) = Z_{00} = Z(X) \quad \text{Works!} \]
Decomposition in the presence of a quantum symmetry

If there is also discrete torsion $\omega \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$:

$$1 \xrightarrow{\iota} K \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \xrightarrow{1}$$

Assume for simplicity $\iota^* \omega = 0$.

$$(\text{Ker } \iota^* \subset H^2(\Gamma, U(1))) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1))) \xrightarrow{d_2} H^3(G, U(1))$$

Cases:

1) Suppose $\beta(\omega) \neq 0$:

$$\text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]_{B,\omega}) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} X \times \frac{\text{Coker } (B/\beta(\omega))}{\text{Ker } (B/\beta(\omega))} \\
\end{array} \right] \right)$$

2) Suppose $\omega = \pi^*\overline{\omega}, \overline{\omega} \in H^2(G, U(1))$:

$$\text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]_{B,\omega}) = \text{QFT} \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} X \times \frac{\text{Coker } B}{\text{Ker } B} \\
\end{array} \right] \overline{\omega} \right)$$

All checked in examples; I'll spare you....
Now, finally, we have the tools to start applying to anomalies.

For the purposes of this talk, anomalies in a finite $G$ gauge theory in $(n + 1)$ dimensions will be classified by $H^{n+2}(G, U(1))$.

This arises from considerations of `topological defect lines.' On the next slide I’ll outline how that works in the case $n = 0$.

Then, I’ll outline how anomaly resolution in (1+1) dimensions can be understood via decomposition.
Application to anomalies

Warmup: quantum-mechanical analogue, 0+1 dimensions
- why are anomalies associated to group cohomology?

Suppose a (finite) group $G$ acts on the states of a QM system: For any $|\psi\rangle$, get $\rho(g) |\psi\rangle$.

For an honest group action, require $\rho(g)\rho(h) = \rho(gh)$

However, b/c we only care about states up to phases, we might instead have

$$\rho(g)\rho(h) = \omega(g,h) \rho(gh) \text{ for some } \omega(g,h) \in U(1)$$

Associativity $\Rightarrow \omega(g_2, g_3) \omega(g_1, g_2 g_3) = \omega(g_1 g_2, g_3) \omega(g_1, g_2)$ \hspace{1cm} (coclosed)

Multiply $\rho$ by phase $\epsilon(g) \Rightarrow \omega(g,h) \mapsto \omega(g,h) \frac{\epsilon(gh)}{\epsilon(g)\epsilon(h)}$ \hspace{1cm} (coboundaries)

Thus, the obstructions $\omega$ are classified by $H^2(G, U(1))$

States are all in $\omega$-projective representations of $G$. 

Anomaly in 0+1 dims
Application to anomalies

Suppose we have an orbifold \([X/G]\) in 1+1d which is anomalous,\[
\text{anomaly } \alpha \in H^3(G, U(1)) \tag{Wang-Wen-Witten ’17}
\]

Algorithm to resolve:

1) Make \(G\) bigger: replace \(G\) by \(\Gamma\), \[
1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \overset{\pi}{\longrightarrow} 1
\]
   (I’ll assume central)
   where \(\Gamma\) is chosen so that \(\pi^*\alpha \in H^3(\Gamma, U(1))\) is trivial.

   The idea is then to replace \([X/G]\) with \([X/\Gamma]\),
   but, need to describe how \(\Gamma\) acts on \(X\).

   If \(K\) acts triv’ly on \(X\), and we do nothing else,
   then we have accomplished nothing:

   \[
   \text{decomposition } \Rightarrow \quad \text{QFT } ([X/\Gamma]) = \biguplus_{K} \text{QFT } ([X/G]) \quad - \text{ still anomalous}
   \]
   Fix by adding quantum symmetry....
Application to anomalies

Suppose we have an orbifold \([X/G]\) in 1+1d which is anomalous, 
anomaly \(\alpha \in H^3(G, U(1))\) 
(Wang-Wen-Witten ’17)

Algorithm to resolve:

1) Make \(G\) bigger: replace \(G\) by \(\Gamma\), 
   \[1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} 1\] 
   (assumed central)

2) Turn on quantum symmetry \(B \in H^1(G, H^1(K, U(1)))\) 
   chosen so that \(d_2B = \alpha\). This implies \(\pi^*\alpha \in H^3(\Gamma, U(1))\) is trivial.

\(K\) acts trivially on \(X\), but nontrivially on twisted sector states via \(B\)

These two together — extension \(\Gamma\) plus \(B\) — resolve anomaly.

Decomposition explains how....
Application to anomaly resolution

Procedure: replace anomalous $[X/G]$ with non-anomalous $[X/\Gamma]_B$

where $d_2B = \alpha \in H^3(G, U(1))$, the anomaly of the $G$ orbifold.

Decomposition:

$$\text{QFT} \left([X/\Gamma]_B\right) = \text{QFT} \left(\left[\frac{X \times \text{Coker} B}{\text{Ker} B}\right]_{\hat{\omega}}\right)$$ — using earlier results for decomp' in orb' w/ quantum symmetry

Note that since $d_2B = \alpha$, $\alpha|_{\text{Ker} B} = 0$

So, $\text{Ker} B \subseteq G$ is automatically anomaly-free!

Summary: $[X/\Gamma]_B = \text{copies of orbifold by anomaly-free subgroup.}$
**Example:** Resolve an anomalous orbifold $[X/G]$, \( G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1,a,b,ab\} \)

Anomaly \( \alpha \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle ab \rangle \)

**Extension 1:** Define \( \Gamma = D_4 \), \( 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow D_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1 \)

Quantum symmetry \( B \) determined by image on \{a, b\}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results:</th>
<th>( B(a) )</th>
<th>( B(b) )</th>
<th>( d_2(B) ) (anomaly)</th>
<th>w/o d.t. in ( D_4 )</th>
<th>w/ d.t. in ( D_4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( - )</td>
<td>$[X/G] \coprod [X/G]_{dt}$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -1 )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( - )</td>
<td>$[X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/G] \coprod [X/G]_{dt}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( -1 )</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -1 )</td>
<td>( -1 )</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Get only anomaly-free subgroups, varying w/ \( B \).

Works!
Example: Resolve an anomalous orbifold $[X/G]$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1,a, b, ab\}$

Anomaly $\alpha \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle ab \rangle$

Extension 2: Define $\Gamma = \mathbb{H}$, $1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1$

Quantum symmetry $B$ determined by image on $\{a, b\}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$B(a)$</th>
<th>$B(b)$</th>
<th>$d_2(B)$ (anomaly)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$[X/G] \coprod [X/G]_{dt}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\langle a \rangle, \langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle, \langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>$\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Get only anomaly-free subgroups, varying w/ $B$. Works!
Example: Resolve an anomalous orbifold $[X/G]$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1,a,b,ab\}$

Anomaly $\alpha \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle ab \rangle$

Extension 3: Define $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1$

Quantum symmetry $B$ determined by image on $\{a,b\}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results:</th>
<th>$B(a)$</th>
<th>$B(b)$</th>
<th>$\text{d}_2(B)$ (anomaly)</th>
<th>w/o d.t. in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$</th>
<th>w/ d.t. in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$[X/G] \coprod [X/G]$</td>
<td>$[X/G]<em>{dt} \coprod [X/G]</em>{dt}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle, \langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
<td>$[X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Get only anomaly-free subgroups, varying w/ $B$.

Works!
Example: Resolve an anomalous orbifold $[X/G]$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, a, b, ab\}$

Anomaly $\alpha \in H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, U(1)) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle ab \rangle$

In the examples so far, we picked a `minimal' resolution $\Gamma$.

If we pick larger $K$, we get copies.

Extension 4: Define $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{H}$, $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results:</th>
<th>$B(a)$</th>
<th>$B(b)$</th>
<th>$d_2(B)$ (anomaly)</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Get copies of orb's w/ anomaly-free subgroups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$\prod_2 [X/G] \coprod [X/G]_{dt}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\langle a \rangle, \langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$\prod_2 [X/\langle b \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$\langle b \rangle, \langle ab \rangle$</td>
<td>$\prod_2 [X/\langle a \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
<td>$\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle$</td>
<td>$\prod_2 [X/\langle ab \rangle]$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Works!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

Decomposition: ‘one’ QFT is secretly several

Decomposition appears in \((n + 1)\)–dimensional theories
with \(n\)–form symmetries.

(I’ve focused on examples in \(1+1\)d,
but examples exist in other dim’s too.)

Can be used to understand anomaly-resolution procedure of \text{WWW}:

replace anomalous \([X/G]\) with non-anomalous \([X/\Gamma]_B\),

but decomposition implies
\[
\text{QFT} \left( [X/\Gamma]_B \right) = \text{copies of QFT} \left( [X/\ker B \subset G] \right),
\]
which is explicitly non-anomalous.

Thank you for your time!
Decomposition ≠ spontaneous symmetry breaking

Note that they both have an order parameter, so be careful when distinguishing.

Ex: sigma model on disjoint union of n spaces ('universes')

Have topological projectors $\Pi_i$ 

$\Pi_i\Pi_j = \delta_{ij}\Pi_i$, $\sum_i \Pi_i = 1$

Have order parameter $X$ 

$X = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi^i\Pi_i$, $\xi = \exp(2\pi i/n)$

Vev in $i$th universe: $\langle \Pi_i X \rangle = \langle \xi^i\Pi_i \rangle = \xi^i$

So, could be described as spontaneously broken phase 
— but that clearly does not capture the physics.

I mentioned higher-form symmetries. What's a one-form symmetry?...
Application to anomalies

Warmup: quantum-mechanical analogue, 0+1 dimensions

So far, have obstruction to honest action of $G$ encoded in anomaly $\omega \in H^2(G, U(1))$

Fix: extend $G$ to larger group $\Gamma$ for which states are in an honest representation.

1) Pick extension $\Gamma$, \[ 1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \Gamma \overset{\pi}{\longrightarrow} G \longrightarrow 1 \]
   such that $\pi^*\omega = 0 \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$

2) Describe action of $\Gamma$, by picking $A \in H^0(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$
   such that $A(s_1s_2s_1^{-1}) = \omega(g_1, g_2)$ for $s_i = s(g_i)$, $s : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a section.

   Then, define $\tilde{\rho}(s(g)k) \equiv A(k)\rho(g)$

   and one can show that $\tilde{\rho}$ defines an honest representation of $\Gamma$.  

Anomaly fixed!
Application to anomalies

Warmup: quantum-mechanical analogue, 0+1 dimensions

Fix: extend $G$ to larger group $\Gamma$ for which states are in an honest representation.

1) Pick extension $\Gamma$, $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$

such that $\pi^* \omega = 0 \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$

2) Describe action of $\Gamma$, by picking $A \in H^0(G, H^1(K, U(1)))$

such that $A(s_1 s_2 s_{12}^{-1}) = \omega(g_1, g_2)$ for $s_i = s(g_i), \ s : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a section.

That was just QM, but the same pattern applies in higher dimensions. In 1+1 dimensions, we’ll see how decomposition gives a very explicit understanding of how anomaly resolution works.
Future directions
Boundaries in orbifolds with quantum symmetries

We saw earlier that in orbifolds $[X/\Gamma]$ with triv'ly acting $K \subset \Gamma$, the boundaries are naturally associated to universes of decomposition:

the boundary carries a (possibly projective) action of $\Gamma$,
so restrict to $K$,
that action descends to a (possibly projective) representation of $K$,
which tells us which universe(s) the boundary is associated to.

That works fine in cases in which $[X/\Gamma]$ has discrete torsion,
just projectivize. But what about quantum symmetries?

Specifically, quantum symmetries $B$ with $d_2B \neq 0$?
Boundaries in orbifolds with quantum symmetries

Specifically, quantum symmetries $B$ with $d_2B \neq 0$?

In this case, the associativity of the $\Gamma$ action is broken, albeit weakly — the action is `homotopy associative.'

In principle, this structure should be understood formally in terms of a groupoid quotient.

WIP w/ Tony Pantev to give a careful description.