Some developments in heterotic compactifications

Eric Sharpe Virginia Tech

In collaboration with J. Distler (Austin), and with assistance of A. Henriques, A. Knutson, E. Scheidegger, and R. Thomas

J. Distler, E.S., hepth/0701244

As you all know, there's been a lot of interest in the last few years in the landscape program.

One of the issues in the landscape program, is that those string vacua are counted by low-energy effective field theories, and it is not clear that all of those have consistent UV completions -- not all of them may come from an underlying quantum gravity.

(Banks, Vafa)

One potential such problem arises in heterotic E₈xE₈ strings.

Let's briefly review heterotic strings. 10d: metric, B field, nonabelian gauge field (E₈xE₈ or Spin(32)/**Z**₂)

If compactify on X, then need gauge bundle E -> X such that $tr(F^2) = tr(R^2)$ in cohomology (anomaly cancellation / Green-Schwarz) and g^{ij*} F_{ij*} = 0 (Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau) Heterotic nonlinear sigma model: $L = g_{\mu\nu} \partial \phi^{\mu} \partial \phi^{\nu} + i g_{i\bar{\jmath}} \overline{\psi}^{\bar{\jmath}}_{+} D_{-} \psi^{i}_{+} + h_{a\bar{b}} \overline{\lambda}^{\bar{b}}_{-} D_{+} \lambda^{a}_{-} + \cdots$

* 2d QFT, in fact a CFT ψ_+ couple to TX λ_- couple to gauge bundle

* Possesses (N=2) supersymmetry on right-movers, ie: ϕ, ψ_+

Call this chiral supersymmetry ``(0,2) supersymmetry"

Heterotic nonlinear sigma model: $L = g_{\mu\nu}\partial\phi^{\mu}\partial\phi^{\nu} + ig_{i\overline{\jmath}}\overline{\psi}^{\overline{\jmath}}_{+}D_{-}\psi^{i}_{+} + h_{a\overline{b}}\overline{\lambda}^{\overline{b}}_{-}D_{+}\lambda^{a}_{-} + \cdots$ In a critical string, there are: * 10 real bosons ϕ * 10 real fermions ψ_+ * 32 real fermions (or, 2 groups of 16) $\lambda_$ so as to get central charge (26,10) How to describe E_8 with 16 $\lambda_{?}$

How to describe E_8 ?

The conventional worldsheet construction builds each E_8 using a (Z₂ orbifold of) the fermions $\lambda_ L = g_{\mu\nu}\partial\phi^{\mu}\partial\phi^{\nu} + ig_{i\overline{j}}\overline{\psi}_{+}^{\overline{j}}D_{-}\psi_{+}^{i} + h_{a\overline{b}}\overline{\lambda}_{-}^{\overline{b}}D_{+}\lambda_{-}^{a} + \cdots$ The fermions realize a Spin(16) current algebra at level 1, and the Z_2 orbifold gives Spin(16)/ Z_2 . $Spin(16)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a subgroup of E_8 , and we use it to realize the E_8 .

How to realize E_8 with Spin(16)/ Z_2 ?

Adjoint rep of E₈ decomposes into adjoint of Spin(16)/ \mathbb{Z}_2 + spinor: 248 = 120 + 128 left R sector left NS sector So we take currents transforming in adjoint, spinor of Spin(16)/ \mathbb{Z}_2 , and form \mathbb{E}_8 via commutation relations. More, in fact: all E₈ d.o.f. are realized via $Spin(16)/Z_2$

This construction has served us well for many years, but,

in order to describe an E_8 bundle w/ connection, that bundle and connection must be reducible to Spin(16)/ Z_2 .

After all, all info in kinetic term $h_{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{\alpha}_{-}D_{+}\lambda^{\beta}_{-}$ Can this always be done? Briefly: Bundles -- yes (in dim 9 or less) Connections/gauge fields -- no. Heterotic swampland?

Summary of this talk: Part 1: Reducibility of E₈ bundles w/ connection to $Spin(16)/Z_2$. Worldsheet descriptions? Part 2: Alternative constructions of 10d heterotic strings using other subgroups of E_8 . -- gen'l Kac-Moody algebras, typically no free field representations Part 3: Realize in compactifications with `fibered WZW models'; physical realization of elliptic genera of Ando, Liu No swampland; new worldsheet constructions instead.

(A Henriques)

Reducibility of bundles If H is a subgroup of G, then obstructions to reducing a p-pal G bdle on M to a p-pal H bundle live in $H^k(M, \pi_{k-1}(G/H))$ Use the fiber sequence $E_k/Spin(16)/Z_k \rightarrow BSpin(16)/Z_k \rightarrow BE_k$

 $E_8/\text{Spin}(16)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow B\text{Spin}(16)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow BE_8$

 π_i : $E_8/{
m Spin}(16)/{
m Z}_2$ $B{
m Spin}(16)/{
m Z}_2$ BE_8

2 5 6 3 4 8 9 10 7 0 0 $Z_2 Z_2$ 0 0 0 0 Ζ 0 Z2. 0 Z_2 0 Ζ 0 $|\mathbf{Z}_2|\mathbf{Z}_2|$ 0 Ζ 0 \cap \mathbf{O}

Obs': $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}), H^{9}(M, \mathbb{Z}), H^{10}(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2})$

(A Henriques)

Reducibility of bundles The obstruction in $H^{3}(M,\mathbb{Z}_{2})$ vanishes because it is a pullback from $H^{3}(BE_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}) = 0$. It can be shown, via a cobordism invariance argument, that on an oriented manifold, the obstruction in $H^{9}(M,Z)$ will vanish. The obstruction in $H^{10}(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ need not vanish. It counts the number of pos'-chirality zero modes of the ten-dim'l Dirac operator, mod 2, and has appeared in physics in work of Diaconescu-Moore-Witten on K theory.

So far:

In dim 9 or less, all principal E₈ bundles can be reduced to principal Spin(16)/Z₂ bundles.

Next:

Reducibility of connections (gauge fields)

Reducibility of connections On a p-pal G bundle, even a trivial p-pal G bundle, one can find connections with holonomy that fill out all of G, and so cannot be understood as connections on a p-pal H bundle for H a subgroup of G: just take a conn' whose curvature generates the Lie algebra of G.

Thus, just b/c the bundles can be reduced, doesn't mean we're out of the woods yet.

We'll build an example of an anomaly-free gauge field satisfying DUY condition that does not sit inside Spin(16)/Z2.

The basic trick is to use the fact that E₈ has an (SU(5)xSU(5))/Z₅ subgroup that does not sit inside Spin(16)/Z₂. We'll build an (SU(5)xSU(5))/Z₅ connection.



Build a stable SU(5) bundle on an elliptically-fibered K3 using Friedman-Morgan-Witten technology.

Rk 5 bundle with c₁=0, c₂=12 has spectral cover in linear system $|5\sigma + 12f|$, describing a curve of genus

 $g = 5c_2 - 5^2 + 1 = 36$ together with a line bundle of degree -(5 + g - 1) = -40

Result is a (family of) stable SU(5) bundles with $c_2=12$ on K3.

Holonomy generically fills out all of SU(5).

Put two together, and project to Z₅ quotient, to get (SU(5)xSU(5))/Z₅ bundle w/ connection that satisfies anomaly cancellation + DUY.

Thus, we have an example of a consistent heterotic sugrav background, in which the E₈ bundle cannot be reduced to Spin(16)/Z₂, and so cannot be described with ordinary heterotic worldsheet theory.

Lessons for the Landscape E₈ Standard worldsheet construction applies Lowenergy gauge

group

 \mathbf{O}

Standard construction does not apply

Statistics on trad'l CFT's artificially favors large gps

So far: * E8 bundles in dim < 10 can be reduced to Spin(16)/Z₂ bundles * but connections (gauge fields) cannot Heterotic swampland?

Next:

Alternative constructions of 10d heterotic strings using subgroups of E_8 other than Spin(16)/ Z_2

Example: E_8 has an (SU(5) x SU(5))/ Z_5 subgroup. Can it be used instead of $Spin(16)/Z_2$? One issue: There are free field representations of U(n), Spin(n) at level 1, but not SU(n)... ... so we'll need to work with the current algebras more abstractly.

Alternative E₈ constructions So, we'll take current algebras for two copies of SU(5) at level 1, and orbifold by a Z₅

Check: central charge of each SU(5) = 4, so adds up to 8 = central charge of E₈

Next, more convincing tests....

Alternative E₈ constructions Check: fusion rules Conformal familes of SU(5) current algebra obey $[5] \times [5] = [10], [10] \times [5] = [5],$ etc so the combination $[1] + [5,10^*] + [5^*,10] + [10,5] + [10^*,5^*]$ squares into itself; identify with [1] of E8 level 1. Contains the E_8 adjoint decomposition $248 = (1,24) + (24,1) + (5,10^*) + (5^*,10) + (10,5) + (10^*,5^*)$

Alternative E₈ constructions Best check: characters For $Spin(16)/Z_2$, corresponding to the decomposition 248 = 120 + 128there is a decomposition of characters/left-moving partition f'ns: $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{Spin(16)}(\mathbf{1},q) + \chi_{Spin(16)}(\mathbf{128},q)$ For $SU(5)^2/\mathbb{Z}_5$, from the decomp of adjoint $248 = (1,24) + (24,1) + (5,10^*) + (5^*,10) + (10,5) + (10^*,5^*)$ get a prediction for characters: $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{1},q)^2 + 4 \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{5},q) \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{10},q)$



Check: characters

$$\chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{1},q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^4} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^4} q^{\left(\sum m_i^2 + (\sum m_i)^2\right)/2}$$

$$\chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{5},q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^4} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^4, \sum m_i \equiv 1 \mod 5} q^{\left(\sum m_i^2 - \frac{1}{5}(\sum m_i)^2\right)/2}$$

$$\chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{10},q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^4} \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^4, \sum m_i \equiv 2 \mod 5} q^{\left(\sum m_i^2 - \frac{1}{5}(\sum m_i)^2\right)/2}$$

Can show (E. Scheidegger) (Kac, Sanielevici) $\chi_{E_8}(1,q) = \chi_{SU(5)}(1,q)^2 + 4\chi_{SU(5)}(5,q)\chi_{SU(5)}(10,q)$ so E₈ worldsheet d.o.f. can be replaced by SU(5)²

Analogous statement for $Spin(16)/Z_2$ is $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{Spin(16)}(\mathbf{1},q) + \chi_{Spin(16)}(\mathbf{128},q)$ There is a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold implicit here -the 1 character is from untwisted sector, the 128 character is from twisted sector. Sim'ly, in the expression $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{1},q)^2 + 4 \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{5},q) \chi_{SU(5)}(\mathbf{10},q)$ there is a Z_5 orbifold implicit. Good!: SU(5)²/Z₅

Another max-rank subgroup: SU(9)/Z₃. Check: central charge = 8 = that of E₈. E₈ conformal family decomposes as [1] = [1] + [84] + [84*]

Can show $\chi_{E_8}(1,q) = \chi_{SU(9)}(1,q) + 2\chi_{SU(9)}(84,q)$ (Note Z₃ orbifold implicit.) So, can describe E₈ w.s. d.o.f. with SU(9)/Z₃.

A non-max-rank possibility: G₂xF₄ Central charge of G₂ at level 1 = 14/5 Central charge of F₄ at level 1 = 52/10 Sum = 8 = central charge of E₈ at level 1

Even better:

 $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{G_2}(\mathbf{1},q) \chi_{F_4}(\mathbf{1},q) + \chi_{G_2}(\mathbf{7},q) \chi_{F_4}(\mathbf{26},q) / \mathbf{1}$

Alternative E₈ constructions $\chi_{E_8}(\mathbf{1},q) = \chi_{G_2}(\mathbf{1},q) \chi_{F_4}(\mathbf{1},q) + \chi_{G_2}(\mathbf{7},q) \chi_{F_4}(\mathbf{26},q)$ Problem: This has structure of Z_2 orbifold twisted sectors, but, $G_2 \& F_4$ both centerless. Conclusion: $G_2 \times F_4$ can't be realized. (Though it does come close.)

So far:

* not all p-pal E₈ bundles w/ connection can be described using trad'l heterotic worldsheet construction

* in 10d there exist alternative constructions of the E₈'s, using gen'l Kac-Moody algebras

Next:

Fiber Kac-Moody algebras over gen'l mflds using `fibered WZW models' (J Distler, ES; J Gates, W Siegel, etc)

First, recall ordinary WZW models.

 $S = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \text{Tr} \left[g^{-1} \partial g g^{-1} \overline{\partial} g \right] - \frac{ik}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 y \epsilon^{ijk} \text{Tr} \left[g^{-1} \partial_i g g^{-1} \partial_j g g^{-1} \partial_k g \right]$ Looks like sigma model on mfld G w/ H flux. Has a global $G_L x G_R$ symmetry, with currents $J(z) = g^{-1}\partial g \qquad \overline{J}(\overline{z}) = \overline{\partial}g g^{-1}$ obeying $\overline{\partial}J(z) = \partial\overline{J}(\overline{z}) = 0$ -- realizes G Kac-Moody algebra at level k

Let P be a principal G bundle over X, with connection A.

Replace the left-movers of ordinary heterotic with WZW model with left-multiplication gauged with A.

NLSM on X

¹Gauge left-multiplication

Fibered WZW models A WZW model action is invariant under gauging symmetric group multiplications, but not under the chiral group multiplications that we have here. Under $g \mapsto hq$ $A_{\mu} \mapsto h A_{\mu} h^{-1} + h \partial_{\mu} h^{-1}$ the classical action is not invariant. As expected -- this is bosonization of chiral anomaly. ... but this does create a potential well-definedness issue in our fibered WZW construction

In add'n to the classical contribution, the classical action also picks up a quantum correction across coord' patches, due to right-moving chiral fermi anomaly.

To make the action gauge-invariant, we proceed in the usual form for heterotic strings: assign a transformation law to the B field. Turns out this implies Anom' canc' $k \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(TX)$ at level k If that is obeyed, then action well-defined globally.

The right-moving fermion kinetic terms on the worldsheet couple to H flux:

 $\frac{\imath}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\psi^{\mu}_{+}D_{\overline{z}}\psi^{\nu}_{+}$

where

 $D_{\overline{z}}\psi^{\mu}_{+} = \overline{\partial}\psi^{\mu}_{+} + \overline{\partial}\phi^{\mu}\left(\Gamma^{\nu}_{\sigma\mu} - H^{\nu}_{\sigma\mu}\right)\psi^{\sigma}_{+}$

To make fermion kinetic terms gauge-invariant, set $H = dB + (\alpha')(kCS(A) - CS(\omega))$ $\rightarrow k \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(TX)$ Anomaly-cancellation

Demand (0,2) supersymmetry on base. Discover an old faux-susy-anomaly in subleading terms in α' (sen)

Susy trans' in ordinary heterotic string: $\delta\lambda_{-} = -i\epsilon\psi_{+}^{\mu}A_{\mu}\lambda_{-}$

-- same as a chiral gauge transformation, with parameter $-i\epsilon\psi^{\mu}_{+}A_{\mu}$ -- b/c of chiral anomaly, there is a quantum contribution to susy trans' at order α' -- appears classically in bosonized description

Fibered WZW models (0,2) supersymmetry: One fermi-terms in susy transformations of: NLSM Base: $\frac{1}{\alpha'}\int_{\Sigma} (i\alpha\psi^{\overline{\imath}})\overline{\partial}\phi^{\mu}\partial\phi^{\nu}(H - dB)_{\overline{\imath}\mu\nu}$ WZW fiber: $-k \int_{\Sigma} (i\alpha\psi^{\overline{\imath}})\overline{\partial}\phi^{\mu}\partial\phi^{\nu}CS(A)_{\overline{\imath}\mu\nu}$ $\int_{\Sigma} (i\alpha\psi^{\overline{\imath}})\overline{\partial}\phi^{\mu}\partial\phi^{\nu}CS(\omega)_{\overline{\imath}\mu\nu}$ Quantum: for susy $H = dB + \alpha' \left(kCS(A) - CS(\omega) \right)$ to close

Fibered WZW models Yet another check of $k \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(TX)$

Take an ordinary heterotic string on S¹, and orbifold by a \mathbb{Z}_2 that translates on the S¹ and simultaneously exchanges the E_8 's. Result is 9d theory with level 2 E_8 algebra. Covering space: $ch_2(\mathcal{E}) + ch_2(\mathcal{E}) = ch_2(TX)$ $_{\sim} 2 \operatorname{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{ch}_2(TX)$ Quotient: Level = 2: Exactly consistent.

Massless spectrum:

In an ordinary WZW model, the massless spectrum is counted by WZW primaries, which are associated to integrable rep's of G.

Here, for each integrable rep R of the principal G bundle P, <u>we get an associated vector bundle E_R.</u>

Massless spectrum = $H^*(X, E_R)$ for each R

Massless spectrum:

Example: G = SU(n), level 1 Here the integrable reps are the fundamental **n** and its exterior powers. Massless spectrum: H*(X, Alt* E)

Massless spectra:

Check that Serre duality closes these states back into themselves:

When X has trivial canonical bundle, $H^{\cdot}(X, \mathcal{E}_R) \cong H^{n-\cdot}(X, \mathcal{E}_R^{\vee})^* \cong H^{n-\cdot}(X, \mathcal{E}_{R*})^*$ R is an integrable rep iff R* is integrable, so all is OK

Fibered WZW models Elliptic genera: = 1-loop partition function In std case, has the form (Witten) $q^{-d/24-r/48} \left| \hat{A}(TM) \operatorname{ch} \left(\bigotimes_{k=1/2,3/2,\cdots} \Lambda_{q^k} \mathcal{E} \bigotimes_{\ell=1,2,3,\cdots} S_{q^\ell} TM \right) [M] \right|$ $\Lambda_q \mathcal{E} = 1 + q \mathcal{E} + q^2 \Lambda^2 \mathcal{E} + \cdots$ where $S_a T = 1 + qT + \text{Sym}^2 T + \cdots$

Elliptic genera:

Anom' cancellation shows up as a condition for the elliptic genus to have good modular properties. $\hat{A}(TM) \operatorname{ch}(S_{q^{\ell}}T) = \eta(q^2)^{-8m} \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{2k}(q^2) \frac{1}{(2k)!} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{iR}{2\pi}\right)^{2k}\right\}$

> G_{2k}(q²) have good mod' prop's for k>1 but not for k=1 For this by itself, to insure good prop's, need Tr R² exact

Elliptic genera:

These fibered WZW constructions realize the `new' elliptic genera of Ando, Liu.

Ordinary elliptic genera describe left-movers coupled to a level 1 current algebra; these, have left-moving level k current algebra.

Black hole applications?

Conclusions

* standard heterotic worldsheet constructions do **not** suffice to describe all heterotic sugrav vacua

 * but more general constructions exist which describe the others
 -- build E₈ from other subgroups
 -- fibered WZW models

